



# CIKM 2014 Competition: Second Place Solution

Zhanpeng Fang and Jie Tang

Department of Computer Science, Tsinghua University



## Task

- Given a sequence of query sessions
  - Example
    - Class1 Query1 –
    - Class1 Query1 Title1
    - Class2 Query2 –
    - Class2 Query2 Title2
    - Class2 Query2 Title3
- Classify the class label of test queries

## Challenge

- Encoding character
  - Only little prior knowledge can be used
- Heterogeneous data
  - Query, title, session information
- User search behavior
  - How to incorporate user search behavior to help the classification task?
- Unlabeled data
  - How to utilize the large scale unlabeled data?

## Result

0.9245 (public) / 0.9245 (private)  
2<sup>nd</sup> place winner  
Achieve in 4 days, from Sep. 27<sup>th</sup> to Sep. 30<sup>th</sup> EST

### Final LeaderBoard

Rank	Name	Best Quiz Score	Best Submit Time
1	topdata	0.9296	Sep 30 2014 23:59:15 (PDT)
2	FAndy	0.9245	Sep 30 2014 23:15:04 (PDT)
3	adfr	0.9222	Sep 30 2014 03:44:32 (PDT)
4	yingwei_xin	0.9220	Sep 30 2014 23:57:42 (PDT)

## Feature Extraction

### Bag of word

- Given a query Q
- One gram, two grams, last gram of Q
  - 0 -> 0.8452
- One gram, two grams of the clicked titles
  - 0.8452 -> 0.9091, top 12 in the leaderboard!
- Higher grams give a bit more improvement
- More bag of words features?
  - Queries in the same session of Q?
  - Titles in the same session of Q?
  - Performance decreases, 0.9091 -> 0.89x

### Search behavior

- Macro features
  - #total search, average length of clicked titles, length of the query
  - 0.9091 -> 0.9105
- Session class features
  - For each potential class C, calculate:
    - #class C queries in the same session
    - #class C queries in the next/previous query
  - 0.9105 -> 0.9145

- Same session's queries features
  - Only use similar queries!
  - Use Jaccard to measure similarity between queries

$$J(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$$

- Bag of words feature for same session's queries that are similar to the query Q
  - 0.9145 -> 0.9182, utilizing the large scale unlabeled data!
  - Performance decrease for adding same session's titles

## Learning Models

### Learning setting

- Given a query Q
- Treat each class label respectively
- Train a classification model to predict the probability that Q belongs to a specific class
- Take the class labels with probability > 0.5 as the classes of the query Q
- If there are more than 2 labels, keep the top two with largest probability

### Models

- Logistic regression

$$p_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1,i} + \dots + \beta_k x_{k,i})}}$$

Use the implementation of Liblinear

- Factorization machine

$$\hat{y}(\mathbf{x}) := w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle x_i x_j$$

Use the implementation of LibFM

- Gradient Boosted Decision Trees

$$\gamma_m = \arg \min_{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^n L(y_i, F_{m-1}(x_i) + \gamma h_m(x_i))$$

$$F_m(x) = F_{m-1}(x) + \gamma_m h_m(x)$$

Use the implementation of XGBoost

## Ensemble

### Step 1. Feature Extraction

- Bag of words features
- Search behavior features

### Step 2. Individual Model Learning

- Logistic regression
- Factorization Machine
- Gradient boosted decision trees

### Step 3. Ensemble Results

- Obtain the prediction results of individual models
- Use logistic regression to ensemble to results

## Experimental Results

Performance on different features and different models.

GBDT is the best individual model.

Ensemble can significantly improve the performance

Feature	Leaderboard
1gram, 2gram of query	0.8452
+1gram, 2gram of titles	0.9091
+macro features	0.9105
+session class features	0.9145
+same session query features	0.9182

Method	Implementation	Leaderboard
Logistic Regression	Liblinear	0.9182
Factorization Machine	LibFM	0.9151
GBDT	XGBoost	0.9225
Ensemble	N/A	0.9245